

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,
Plaintiff,

v.

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY SUPERIOR
COURT et. al.,
Defendants.

Case Nos. [22-cv-7498-PJH](#)
[22-cv-7516-PJH](#)
[22-cv-7517-PJH](#)
[22-cv-7546-PJH](#)
[22-cv-7547-PJH](#)
[22-cv-7548-PJH](#)
[22-cv-7549-PJH](#)
[22-cv-7711-PJH](#)
[22-cv-7712-PJH](#)
[22-cv-8982-PJH](#)
[22-cv-8983-PJH](#)
[22-cv-8984-PJH](#)
[22-cv-9055-PJH](#)
[22-cv-9100-PJH](#)

**ORDER DISMISSING MULTIPLE
CASES WITH PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, has filed multiple pro se civil rights complaints under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is a condemned prisoner who also has a pending federal habeas petition in this court with appointed counsel. *See Bonilla v. Ayers*, Case No. 08-0471 YGR. Plaintiff is also represented by counsel in state court habeas proceedings. *See In re Bonilla*, Case No. 20-2986 PJH, Docket No. 1 at 7.

Plaintiff presents nearly identical claims in these actions. He names as defendants various state superior courts and state judges. He seeks relief regarding his underlying conviction or how his other cases were handled by the state and federal courts.

1 To the extent that plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in these cases,
2 he has been disqualified from proceeding IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is
3 “under imminent danger of serious physical injury” at the time he filed his complaint. 28
4 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, Case No. 11-3180 CW; *Bonilla v. Dawson*, Case
5 No. 13-0951 CW.

6 The allegations in these complaints do not show that plaintiff was in imminent
7 danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed IFP. Moreover, even if an
8 IFP application were granted, his lawsuits would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512
9 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), *Demos v. U.S.*
10 *District Court*, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161-62 (9th Cir. 1991) or *Mullis v. U.S. Bankruptcy Court*,
11 828 F.2d 1385, 1393 (9th Cir. 1987). Accordingly, the cases are dismissed with
12 prejudice.

13 The clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close these cases. The clerk
14 shall return, without filing, any further documents plaintiff submits in these closed cases.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated: December 27, 2022

17
18 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton

19 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
20 United States District Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28